## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY UNITED STATES COAST GUARD

\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES COAST GUARD, Complainant

VS.

KARSTEN MICHAEL MYERSCOUGH, Respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_

Docket Number 2024-0276 Enforcement Activity No. 7906079

## **CONSENT ORDER**

**Issued: June 18, 2024** 

By Administrative Law Judge: Honorable Timothy G. Stueve

**Appearances:** 

Jason U. Bartholomew USCG Sector Northern Great Lakes

**For the Coast Guard** 

Karsten Michael Myerscough, Pro Se

**For Respondent** 

## **CONSENT ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

On or about June 13, 2024, the parties in this case submitted a Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement and Entry of Consent Order<sup>1</sup> to be approved as a settlement of the case under 33 C.F.R. § 20.502.

I have carefully reviewed the terms of the Settlement Agreement and find it is fair and reasonable and in substantial compliance with the requirements of 33 C.F.R. § 20.502.

## **ORDER**

Upon consideration of the record, it is hereby **ORDERED**, the Settlement Agreement is **APPROVED** in full and incorporated herein by reference. This Consent Order shall constitute full, final, and complete adjudication of this proceeding.

Done and dated June 18, 2024, at Alameda, California

Hon. Timothy G. Stueve Administrative Law Judge

U.S. Coast Guard

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I note that paragraph 2 of the Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement dated June 13, 2024 incorrectly states the Coast Guard's Complaint dated May 30, 2024 sought to suspend Respondent's MMC. The Complaint sought "revocation" rather than "suspension".